

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,557	07/18/2003	David J. Young	1391-39000	4807
46133 75	590 01/23/2006		EXAMINER	
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. PO BOX 3267			CHANG, JOSEPH	
HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/622,557	YOUNG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Joseph Chang	2817		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-32,34-42,44-57 and 59-61</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-31,37 and 50-55</u> is/ Claim(s) <u>1-6,32,34-36,38-42,56,57 and 59-61</u> is Claim(s) <u>44-49</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>1-32,34-42,44-57 and 59-61</u> are subjected	are withdrawn from consideration s/are allowed.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 7/18/03 is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the CREP Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	cepted or b) \square objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The acknowledgement dated 12/13/04 of mistakenly indicated Applicant's election without traverse has been vacated.

Applicant's election **with traverse** of Claims 1-6, 32-62 drawn to Group I in the reply filed on 1/18/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims do not recite distinct species and the restriction requirement is unsupported. This is not found persuasive because the non-obvious variants between each of the group present a burden in both search and examination.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a spectrum analyzer coupled to" as it relates to the claims 1, 32, 56 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction

of the following is required: the "a spectrum analyzer" as it relates to the claims 1, 32, 56.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 44-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartera in view of Gunawardana et al.

As noted above, Bartera discloses an oscillator having two thermally coupled crystals as recited in the claims. However, its application, a downhole tool is not disclosed.

Gunawardana et al. shows a downhole tool a clock source. As would have been well known in the art, such a downhole tool uses a clock source for synchronization or for the processor and among other devices in the system.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply this oscillator of Bartera to a downhole tool because such application would have provided the benefit of synchronization for the processor and among other devices in the system as intended.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6, 32, 34-36, 38-42, 56-57, 59, 60, 61 are to be allowed if objections are resolved.

Response to Arguments

Regarding claims 44-49, Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, a downhole tool

shown in Gunawardana et al. as an example that oscillators are being used in the downhole tool system.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Chang whose telephone number is 571 272-1759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 0700-1730.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,557

Art Unit: 2817

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOSEPH CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER